

**Final
Environmental Impact Statement/
Environmental Impact Report**

**Wetland and Creek Restoration at Big Lagoon,
Muir Beach**

SCH #2004042143

Prepared for:

Golden Gate National Recreation Area
National Park Service
Fort Mason, Building 201
San Francisco, CA 94123

Marin County Community Development Agency
3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 308
San Rafael, CA 94903

Prepared by:

Jones & Stokes
268 Grand Avenue
Oakland, CA 94610-4724

December 2007

Jones & Stokes. 2007. *Wetland and Creek Restoration at Big Lagoon, Muir Beach Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report*. (SCH# 2004042143) December. (J&S 05052.05.) Oakland, CA.

**Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Wetland and Creek Restoration at Big Lagoon, Muir Beach**

**GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA
Marin County, California
Lead Agencies: National Park Service and County of Marin**

ABSTRACT

The *Wetland and Creek Restoration at Big Lagoon, Muir Beach Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report* (Final EIS/EIR) presents and evaluates alternatives to restore a functional, self-sustaining ecosystem and provide for public access that is compatible with restoration. The 38-acre project site is located entirely within the boundaries of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area but includes some properties owned by the San Francisco Zen Center and Marin County.

This Final EIS/EIR analyzes three **Restoration Alternatives** with varying degrees of wetland or open water enhancement. All Restoration Alternatives include realigning the Redwood Creek channel, enhancing the backbeach tidal lagoon, removing invasive plant species, and removing constructed features such as the levee road. The Restoration Alternatives are designed to enhance natural creek and wetland function, provide sustainable habitat for federally listed salmonids and the California red-legged frog, and reduce flooding on Pacific Way.

Six **Public Access Alternatives** analyzed in this Final EIS/EIR represent varying capacities, configurations, and locations for a visitor parking lot at Muir Beach. All Public Access Alternatives are designed to reduce impacts on Redwood Creek, improve flooding conditions, and provide vehicular and new trail access from Highway 1 to Muir Beach.

This Final EIS/EIR analyzed four **Bridge Alternatives** to replace the existing Pacific Way Bridge. Under all alternatives, the new bridge would be higher and longer than the existing bridge to reduce flooding and provide vehicular access. The Bridge Alternatives vary in length, the height of the roadway approach, and their long-term accommodation of potential channel migration.

Additionally, five **Fill Disposal Alternatives** were analyzed in the Final EIS/EIR to address the issue of reuse or disposal of fill generated by construction activities associated with the Restoration and Public Access Alternatives.

Comments: Written comments must be postmarked or transmitted no later than 45 days from the date of EPA's notice of filing published in the Federal Register. Review copies will be available at lead agencies' offices and local libraries. The complete document will be posted on the GGNRA's web site (<http://www.nps.gov/goga>) and on NPS's Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/goga>). Written comments will be accepted online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/goga> (click the project title and follow instructions) or by sending a letter addressed as follows: Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123 (Attn: Restoration at Big Lagoon). A public meeting will be scheduled during the public review period (see project website noted above for details).

A Notice of Availability of the Final EIS/EIR has been published in the Federal Register. Under CEQA guidelines the document is being made available for a 45-day public review and comment period. No sooner than 45 days from the date that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's notice of filing is published in the Federal Register, the NPS will prepare a Record of Decision (ROD). Following confirmation of available funding, the Final EIS/EIR will be certified by Marin County and the County will issue a Notice of Determination (NOD) pursuant to CEQA. This document will be distributed to all recipients of the Draft EIS/EIR. Questions regarding this project may be directed to Steve Ortega (415) 561-4841, or emailed to: goga_planning@nps.gov.

Contents

Abstract	
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	ix
Executive Summary	ES-1
Introduction	ES-1
Project Overview.....	ES-2
Project Area	ES-2
Project Background	ES-2
Role of Lead Agencies.....	ES-4
Project Purpose and Need.....	ES-4
Project Purpose	ES-4
Project Need	ES-5
Goals	ES-8
Alternatives	ES-9
Alternative 1—No Action.....	ES-9
Action Alternatives	ES-9
Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-22
Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	ES-23
Cumulative Impacts	ES-24
Growth Inducing Impacts	ES-24
Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	ES-25
Environmentally Preferable Alternative.....	ES-25
Plans and Plan Consistency	ES-26
Areas of Controversy	ES-28
Issues to be Addressed or Resolved.....	ES-29
Changes Between the Draft and Final EIS/EIR	ES-29
Public Comments and Responses.....	ES-30
Major Conclusions	ES-30
Public Review Process	ES-32
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1-1
Organization of the Final EIS/EIR.....	1-1
Project Overview.....	1-2
Background.....	1-2
Planning Area	1-3
General Description of Project.....	1-3
Project Purpose and Need.....	1-4
Project Purpose	1-4
Project Need	1-5
Project Goals, Objectives, and Indicators	1-8

	Project Strategy	1-14
	Project Review Process.....	1-14
	Overview of the National Environmental Policy Act and the California Environmental Quality Act.....	1-14
	Role of Lead Agencies.....	1-15
	Public Involvement and Scoping.....	1-15
	Public Review of the Draft EIS/EIR.....	1-16
	Final EIS/EIR	1-17
	Public Review of the Final EIS/EIR.....	1-17
	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting	1-18
	Impact Topics	1-18
	Intended Uses of Final EIS/EIR.....	1-21
Chapter 2	Alternatives	2-1
	NEPA and CEQA Requirements for Alternatives	2-1
	Alternatives Formulation Process	2-2
	Caltrans 1994 Preliminary Environmental Assessment.....	2-2
	Development Process.....	2-2
	Alternatives Analyzed in Detail	2-4
	Alternative 1—No Action.....	2-6
	Action Alternatives	2-7
	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Study	2-42
	Restoration Alternatives.....	2-42
	Public Access Alternatives.....	2-43
	Bridge Alternatives.....	2-44
	Fill Disposal Alternatives.....	2-44
	Preferred Alternative.....	2-45
	Environmentally Preferable Alternative.....	2-51
	Restoration Alternatives.....	2-52
	Public Access Alternatives.....	2-52
	Bridge Alternatives.....	2-53
	Fill Disposal Alternatives.....	2-54
	Comparison of Alternatives.....	2-54
Chapter 3	Affected Environment	3-1
	3.1 Physical Resources	3-1
	3.1.1 Watershed Processes	3-1
	3.1.2 Water Quality	3-21
	3.1.3 Water Supply	3-25
	3.1.4 Air Quality	3-27
	3.2 Biological Resources	3-33
	3.2.1 Vegetation Communities and Wetlands.....	3-33
	3.2.2 Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat	3-39
	3.2.3 Fisheries	3-47
	3.3 Cultural Resources	3-51
	3.4 Social Resources	3-61
	3.4.1 Recreation and Visitor Experience.....	3-61
	3.4.2 Traffic and Circulation	3-67
	3.4.3 Aesthetics	3-73

3.4.4	Energy, Public Services, Utilities, and Service Systems	3-79
3.4.5	Human Health and Safety	3-83
3.4.6	Land Use, Planning, and Agricultural Resources	3-87
3.4.7	Noise	3-89
Chapter 4	Environmental Consequences	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Methodology for Assessing Impacts	4-2
4.2.1	Mitigation Measures	4-3
4.2.2	Format of Impact Discussion	4-4
4.2.3	Conclusions Regarding Resource Impairment.....	4-6
4.3	Environmental Impact Analysis - Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts	4-7
4.3.1	Physical Resources	4-7
4.3.1.1	Watershed Processes.....	4-7
4.3.1.2	Water Quality	4-45
4.3.1.3	Water Supply	4-57
4.3.1.4	Air Quality	4-73
4.3.2	Biological Resources	4-95
4.3.2.1	Vegetation Communities and Wetlands.....	4-95
4.3.2.2	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat	4-133
4.3.2.3	Fisheries	4-161
4.3.3	Cultural Resources	4-191
4.3.4	Social Resources	4-215
4.3.4.1	Recreation and Visitor Experience.....	4-215
4.3.4.2	Traffic and Circulation	4-247
4.3.4.3	Aesthetics	4-281
4.3.4.4	Energy, Public Services, Utilities, and Service Systems	4-299
4.3.4.5	Human Health and Safety.....	4-319
4.3.4.6	Land Use, Planning, and Agricultural Resources.....	4-331
4.3.4.7	Noise	4-369
4.3.5	Summary Impacts of the Preferred Alternative	4-389
Chapter 5	Other Statutory Considerations	5-1
	Cumulative Impacts	5-1
	Methodology	5-1
	Cumulative Impact Analysis.....	5-7
	Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	5-16
	Socioeconomics.....	5-17
	Sustainability and Long-Term Management.....	5-17
	Relationship between Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity	5-18
	Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	5-19
	Significant Unavoidable Impacts of All Alternatives.....	5-19

	Significant Unavoidable Impacts of the Preferred Alternative	5-23
Chapter 6	Comments and Responses	
	(Responses follow Comment Letters).....	6-1
	Introduction	6-1
	Master Responses.....	6-3
	MR-1 Preferred Bridge Alternative.....	6-3
	MR-2 Salmonid Rearing Habitat.....	6-5
	MR-3 Sea Level Rise.....	6-12
	Letter A: Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria (February 27, 2007)	6-20
	Letter B: United States Environmental Protection Agency (February 28, 2007)	6-24
	Letter C: California Coastal Commission (March 6, 2007).....	6-29
	Letter D: California Department of Fish and Game (February 28, 2007)	6-38
	Letter E: Office of Historic Preservation (March 14, 2007).....	6-42
	Letter F: San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (March 6, 2007)	6-48
	Letter G: California State Clearinghouse and Planning Unit (February 6, 2007).....	6-62
	Letter H: Marin Municipal Water District (December 29, 2006).....	6-64
	Letter I: Environmental Action Committee of West Marin (March 6, 2007).....	6-68
	Letter J: Greater Muir Beach Neighbors (February 15, 2007).....	6-71
	Letter K: Greater Muir Beach Neighbors (March 2, 2007).....	6-75
	Letter L: San Francisco Zen Center (March 5, 2007)	6-79
	Letter M: Ocean Riders of Marin (March 5, 2007)	6-85
	Letter N: Sierra Club Marin Group (March 5, 2007).....	6-94
	Letter O: Tomales Bay Association (March 6, 2007)	6-101
	Letter P: C. Henry Barner (March 3, 2007).....	6-103
	Letter Q: Margaret Kettunen Zegart (January 28, 2007).....	6-112
	Letter R: Margaret Kettunen Zegart (February 26, 2007).....	6-118
	Letter S: Margaret Kettunen Zegart (March 6, 2007).....	6-120
	Letter T: David M. MacKenzie (March 6, 2007)	6-123
	Letter U: John and Cela O. O'Connor (March 7, 2007)	6-129
	Letter V: Walter Postle (January 5, 2007).....	6-135
	Letter W: Christian Riehl (March 6, 2007)	6-138
	Letter X: Edward T. Sanford (January 8, 2007)	6-141
	Letter Y: David Schonbrunn (March 6, 2007)	6-144

	Letter Z: Responses to Marin County Planning Commission Hearing Comments	6-148
Chapter 7	Consultation and Coordination	7-1
	History of Public Involvement	7-1
	Compliance Status.....	7-2
	List of Preparers	7-6
	List of Final EIS/EIR Recipients.....	7-9
Chapter 8	References	8-1
	Printed References	8-1
	Personal Communications.....	8-15
Chapter 9	Glossary, Species List, Acronyms List, Index of Key Words	
Appendices		
	Appendix A Notice of Intent, Notice of Preparation	
	Appendix B Scoping Summary	
	Appendix C Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan	
	Appendix D Philip Williams & Associates Bridge Analysis	
	Appendix E Philip Williams & Associates Refined Modeling	
	Appendix F DKS Associates Traffic Memorandum	

List of Figures

Figure	Follows Page
ES-1	Project Location ES-2
ES-2	Preferred Restoration Alternative and Preferred Parking Alternative ES-18
1-1	Redwood Creek Watershed and Project Site Location 1-2
1-2	Existing Conditions Site Map 1-4
1-3	2003 Aerial Photo of Project Site 1-4
2-1	Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative Year 0 2-54
2-2	Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative Conceptual Profile View 2-54
2-3	Alternative 2 – Creek Restoration Year 0 2-54
2-4	Alternative 2 – Creek Restoration Year 50 2-54
2-5	Alternative 2 – Creek Restoration Conceptual Profile View 2-54
2-6	Alternative 3 – Creek and Small Lagoon Restoration Year 0 2-54
2-7	Alternative 3 – Creek and Small Lagoon Restoration Year 50 2-54
2-8	Alternative 3 – Creek and Small Lagoon Restoration Conceptual Profile View 2-54
2-9	Alternative 4 – Large Lagoon Restoration Year 0 2-54
2-10	Alternative 4 – Large Lagoon Restoration Year 50 2-54

2-11	Alternative 4 – Large Lagoon Restoration Conceptual Profile View	2-54
2-12	Public Access Alternative B1	2-54
2-13	Public Access Alternative B2	2-54
2-14	Public Access Alternative B3	2-54
2-15	Public Access Alternative B4 Shown with Alternative 2 – Creek Restoration	2-54
2-16	Public Access Alternative B5	2-54
2-17	Public Access Alternative C	2-54
2-18	Bridge Alternatives	2-54
2-19	Bridge Alternatives BR1	2-54
2-20	Bridge Alternative BR2	2-54
2-21	Bridge Alternative BR3	2-54
2-22	Bridge Alternative BR4	2-54
2-23	Fill Disposal Sites	2-54
2-24	Preferred Restoration Alternative and Preferred Parking Alternative	2-54
3.1.1-1	Presettlement Conceptual Model	3-2
3.1.1-2	Existing Conceptual Model	3-6
3.1.1-3	Future Conditions Conceptual Model	3-6
3.1.1-4	Flow-recurrence Curve	3-6
3.1.1-5	FEMA Flood Zones	3-8
3.1.1-6	Photos of Flood Conditions	3-8
3.1.1-7	Pacific Way and Flooding	3-12
3.1.1-8	Estimated Sediment Discharge, Redwood Creek Watershed	3-12
3.2.1-1	Jurisdictional Delineation Map	3-38

3.4.1-1	Marin County Open Space and Parklands.....	3-62
3.4.1-2	Trails in the Vicinity of Muir Beach.....	3-64
4.3.1-1	Comparison of Channel Thalweg for Alternatives 1, 2, and 4	4-44
4.3.1-2	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternative 1 during Q5, Q50, Q100.....	4-44
4.3.1-3	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternatives 1, 2, and 4 at Year 5 during Q5.....	4-44
4.3.1-4	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternatives 1, 2, and 4 at Year 5 during Q50.....	4-44
4.3.1-5	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternatives 1, 2, and 4 at Year 5 during Q100.....	4-44
4.3.1-6	Flooding Scenario, Restoration Alternative 1.....	4-44
4.3.1-7	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternative 2 during Q5, Q50, and Q100.....	4-44
4.3.1-8	Flooding Scenario, Restoration Alternative 2.....	4-44
4.3.1-9	Comparison of Water Levels for Alternative 4 during Q5, Q50, and Q100.....	4-44
4.3.1-10	Flooding Scenario, Restoration Alternative 4.....	4-44
5-1	Trail Connections (Proposed and Existing) in the Vicinity of Muir Beach	5-14
6-1	Winter Salmonid Rearing Habitat Under Existing Conditions	6-6
6-2	Winter Salmonid Rearing Habitat Under the Preferred Restoration and Public Access Alternatives	6-8
6-3	Comparison of Redwood Creek Water Levels for 2- year Flow and Variable Tidal Conditions	6-18
6-4	Schematic Cross-Section of Tidal Lagoon.....	6-52

List of Tables

Table	On Page
ES-1a	Restoration Alternative—Impact Summary Follows ES-32
ES-1b	Public Access Alternative—Impact Summary Follows ES-32
ES-1c	Bridge Alternative—Impact Summary Follows ES-32
ES-1d	Fill Disposal Alternative—Impact Summary Follows ES-32
1-1	Project Objectives and Indicators for Big Lagoon Restoration..... 1-9
1-2	Summary of Local, State, and Federal Discretionary Actions 1-21
2-1	Possible Combinations and Their Compatibility/Feasibility—Restoration and Public Access Alternative 2-5
2-2a	Projected Approximate Acreage of Habitat Types for Each Restoration Alternative at Year 5 and Year 50 2-20
2-2b	Projected Approximate Change in Riparian Wetland Extent Relative to Existing Conditions for Each Public Access Alternative at Year 5 and Year 50 2-21
2-2c	Projected Approximate Change in Riparian Wetland Extent Relative to Existing Conditions for Each Bridge Alternative..... 2-21
2-3	Project Lifetime Under Various Scenarios 2-23
2-4	Bridge Alternative Characteristics 2-27
2-5	Summary of Excavation and Fill Quantities for Restoration Alternatives 2-30

2-6	Summary of Excavation and Fill Quantities for Parking Lot Alternatives	2-31
2-7	Summary of Fill Disposal Options	2-31
2-8	Alternatives Comparison Summary	Follows 2-52
3.1.1-1	Major Watershed Disturbances and Hypothesized Sediment Transport Responses	3-3
3.1.1-2	Mean Monthly Precipitation at Muir Woods	3-6
3.1.1-3	Estimated Peak Flood Recurrence Relationship for Redwood Creek at the Highway 1 Bridge	3-7
3.1.1-4	Rates of Sea Level Rise in San Francisco Bay Area	3-16
3.1.4-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards Applicable in California	Follows 3-28
3.1.4-2	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data Measured at the San Rafael Monitoring Station	3-30
3.1.4-3	2005 Marin County Attainment Status for State and Federal Standards	3-32
3.2.2-1	Special-Status Wildlife Known to Occur or that May Occur in the Study Area	3-40
3.4.2-1	V/C Ratio, Delay, and Traffic Flow Conditions for LOS Designations	3-68
3.4.2-2	Approach Delay* and LOS at Key Area Intersections During Weekday Peak Traffic Hours	3-70
3.4.2-3	Parking Demand at Muir Beach	3-71
4.3.1.1-1	Model Runs Used for Analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR	4-18
4.3.1.1-2	Watershed Processes—Restoration Alternative Impacts	4-22
4.3.1.1-3	Estimated Sediment Deposition Volumes for Restoration Alternatives	4-31
4.3.1.1-4	Watershed Process Impacts—Public Access Alternatives	4-37
4.3.1.1-5	Watershed Process Impacts—Bridge Alternatives	4-40

4.3.1.1-6	Summary of Flood Elevations for the Modeled Bridge Alternatives under Various Design Storm Events	4-41
4.3.1.1-7	Evaluation of Geomorphic and Ecological Function of the Bridge Alternatives.....	4-43
4.3.1.2-1	Water Quality Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-50
4.3.1.2-2	Water Quality Impacts from Public Access Alternatives	4-60
4.3.1.2-3	Water Quality Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-61
4.3.1.2-4	Water Quality Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-63
4.3.1.3-1	Water Supply Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-69
4.3.1.4-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards Applicable in California.....	Follows 4-74
4.3.1.4-2	Federal de minimis Threshold Levels for Criteria Pollutants in Nonattainment Areas.....	4-75
4.3.1.4-3	Federal de minimis Threshold Levels For Criteria Pollutants in Maintenance Areas	4-76
4.3.1.4-4	Thresholds for Assessing Adverse Impacts on Human Health from Airborne Pollutants	4-79
4.3.1.4-5	Potential Air Quality Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-81
4.3.1.4-6	Summary of Anticipated Construction Equipment Associated with the Restoration Alternatives.....	4-82
4.3.1.4-7	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Restoration Alternatives (pounds per day).....	4-82
4.3.1.4-8	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Restoration Alternatives (tons per year).....	4-83
4.3.1.4-9	Potential Air Quality Impacts from Public Access Alternatives	4-84

4.3.1.4-10	Summary of Anticipated Construction Equipment Associated with the Public Access Alternatives	4-85
4.3.1.4-11	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Public Access Alternatives (pounds per day).....	4-85
4.3.1.4-12	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Public Access Alternatives (tons per year).....	4-86
4.3.1.4-13	Potential Air Quality Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-87
4.3.1.4-14	Summary of Anticipated Construction Equipment Associated with the Bridge Alternatives	4-87
4.3.1.4-15	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Bridge Alternatives (pounds per day).....	4-88
4.3.1.4-16	Summary of Emissions Associated with Construction of the Bridge Alternatives (tons per year).....	4-88
4.3.1.4-17	Potential Air Quality Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-89
4.3.1.4-18	Summary of Emissions Associated with the Fill Disposal Alternatives (pounds per day)	4-90
4.3.1.4-19	Summary of Emissions Associated with the Fill Disposal Alternatives (tons per year)	4-91
4.3.1.4-20	BAAQMD Feasible Control Measures for Construction Emissions of PM10	4-92
4.3.2.1-1	Potential Vegetation Communities and Wetlands Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	Follows 4-104
4.3.2.1-2	Potential Vegetation Communities and Wetlands Impacts from Public Access Alternatives	Follows 4-116
4.3.2.1-3	Potential Vegetation Communities and Wetlands Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-124
4.3.2.1-4	Potential Vegetation Communities and Wetlands Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-128

4.3.2.2-1	Potential Wildlife Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-139
4.3.2.2-2	Potential Wildlife Impacts from Public Access Alternatives	4-153
4.3.2.2-3	Potential Wildlife Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-155
4.3.2.2-4	Potential Wildlife Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-157
4.3.2.3-1	Potential Impacts of Restoration Alternatives on Study Area Fisheries.....	4-169
4.3.2.3-2	Estimated Changes in Channel Conveyance	4-182
4.3.2.3-3	Potential Fisheries Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-187
4.3.3-1	Potential Cultural Resources Impacts from Restoration Alternatives.....	4-200
4.3.3-2	Potential Cultural Resources Impacts by Public Access Alternative	4-204
4.3.3-3	Potential Cultural Resources Impacts by Bridge Alternative	4-207
4.3.3-4	Potential Cultural Resources Impacts by Fill Disposal Alternative	4-209
4.3.4.1-1	Potential Recreational Use Impacts from Restoration Alternatives.....	4-220
4.3.4.1-2	Potential Recreation and Visitor Experience Impacts from Public Access Alternatives	4-230
4.3.4.1-3	Summary of Projected Parking Surpluses and Shortfalls under Access Action Alternatives	4-234
4.3.4.1-4	Anticipated Formation of Parking Queues	4-235
4.3.4.1-5	Number of Vehicles in Queue under Public Access Alternatives	4-235
4.3.4.1-6	Survey Respondents' Reactions to Potential Changes in Parking Configuration	4-236

4.3.4.1-7	Potential Recreational Use Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-242
4.3.4.1-8	Potential Recreational Use Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-243
4.3.4.2-1	Potential Traffic and Circulation Impacts from Restoration Alternatives.....	4-251
4.3.4.2-2	Potential Traffic and Circulation Impacts from the Public Access Alternatives.....	4-256
4.3.4.2-3	Summary of Projected Parking Surpluses and Shortfalls under Public Access Alternatives.....	4-262
4.3.4.2-4	Anticipated Formation of Parking Queues	4-264
4.3.4.2-5	Number of Vehicles in Queue under Public Access Alternatives	4-264
4.3.4.2-6	Intersection Level of Service Comparison Summary, Weekdays.....	4-267
4.3.4.2-7	Intersection Level of Service Comparison Summary, Weekends.....	4-268
4.3.4.2-8	Potential Traffic and Circulation Impacts from the Bridge Alternatives.....	4-272
4.3.4.2-9	Potential Traffic and Circulation Impacts from the Fill Disposal Alternatives.....	4-275
4.3.4.3-1	Potential Aesthetic Impacts from the Restoration Alternatives	4-285
4.3.4.3-2	Potential Aesthetic Impacts from the Public Access Alternatives	4-289
4.3.4.3-3	Aesthetic Impacts of Bridge Alternatives	4-293
4.3.4.3-4	Potential Aesthetic Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-295
4.3.4.4-1	Potential Public Services Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-303
4.3.4.4-2	Potential Public Services Impacts from Public Access Alternatives.....	4-307

4.3.4.4-3	Potential Energy, Public Services, Utilities, and Service Systems Impacts from Bridge Alternatives	4-310
4.3.4.4-4	Depth and Duration of Inundation of <u>Modeled</u> Bridge Alternatives under Various Design Storm Events	4-313
4.3.4.4-5	Public Services Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-315
4.3.4.5-1	Potential Human Health and Safety Impacts from Restoration Alternatives.....	4-322
4.3.4.5-2	Potential Human Health and Safety Impacts from Public Access Alternatives.....	4-325
4.3.4.5-3	Potential Human Health and Safety Impacts from Bridge Alternatives.....	4-328
4.3.4.5-4	Potential Human Health and Safety Impacts from Fill Disposal Alternatives.....	4-329
4.3.4.6-1	Land Use Policy Consistency Analysis	4-338
4.3.4.6-2	Land Use and Agriculture Impacts from Restoration Alternatives	4-364
4.3.4.6-3	Land Use and Agriculture Impacts from Public Access Alternatives.....	4-367
4.3.4.7-1	State Land Use Compatibility Standards for Community Noise Environments.....	Follows 4-370
4.3.4.7-2	Marin County Land Use Compatibility Standards for Community Noise Environments.....	Follows 4-370
4.3.4.7-3	Marin County Allowable Noise Exposure from Stationary Noise Sources	4-370
4.3.4.7-4	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	4-373
4.3.4.7-5	Potential Noise Impacts from the Restoration Alternatives	4-374
4.3.4.7-6	Estimated Construction Noise in the Vicinity of an Active Construction Site.....	4-375
4.3.4.7-7	Potential Noise Impacts from the Public Access Alternatives	4-377

4.3.4.7-8	Potential Noise Impacts from the Bridge Alternatives	4-382
4.3.4.7-9	Potential Noise Impacts from the Fill Disposal Alternatives	4-385
4.3.4.7-10	Estimated Construction Noise from Haul Truck Activities.....	4-386
4.3.4.7-11	Estimated Construction Noise in the Vicinity of an Active Construction Site.....	4-386
5-1	List of Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects	5-3
6-1	List of Commenters on the Draft EIS/EIR	6-2
6-2	Approximate Aerial Extent of Winter Rearing Habitat.....	6-9
6-3	IPCC (2007) Sea-level Rise Estimates (2090–2099 relative to 1980–1999)	6-14
6-4	Projected 2023 Parking Demand at Muir Beach.....	6-144